Exhibit 15

State of California ex. rel. Ven-A-Care of the Florida Keys, Inc. v. Abbott Laboratories, Inc., et al.

Exhibit to the Declaration of Rita Hanscom in Support of Plaintiffs' Opposition to Dey, Inc. and Dey, L.P.'s Motion for Partial Summary Judgment

Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6781-16 Filed 12/21/09 Page 2 of 5

Gorospe, Pharm. D., J. Kevin - Vol. II Sacramento, CA

September 22, 2008

		Page 3
UNITED STATE	ES DISTRICT COURT	
DISTRICT O	F MASSACHUSETTS	
	x	
IN RE PHARMACEUTICAL INDUS	TRY)	
AVERAGE WHOLESALE PRICE)	
LITIGATION)	
)	
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO) MDL No. 1456	
State of California, ex re	l.) Civil Action:	
Ven-A-Care v. Abbott) 01-12258-PBS	
Laboratories, Inc., et al.)	
	x	
	VOL. II	
	-000	
MONDAY, SE	PTEMBER 22, 2008	
	-000	
VIDEOTAPE	D DEPOSITION OF	
J. KEVIN G	DROSPE, Pharm.D.	
	-000	
Reported By: CAROL NYGARD	DROBNY, CSR No. 4018	
Registered Me	erit Reporter	

Gorospe, Pharm. D., J. Kevin - Vol. II
Sacramento, CA

September 22, 2008

Page 409

- Q. And as of March you were still in that
- ² position.
- Are you still Chief of Pharmacy Policy?
- 4 A. Yes.
- ⁵ Q. Do you recall last time going over some
- 6 -- some reports from the Federal ATHSOIG and from
- 7 California auditors regarding Medi-Cal pharmacy
- Programs and Medicaid programs generally?
- ⁹ A. Yes.
- Q. And, as you recall, some of those
- documents you recognized, some you -- some you did
- not, but generally you testified that you'd expect
- that someone from your pharmaceutical unit would
- have reviewed those types of documents?
- A. Yes.
- Q. I'm going to show you a couple of those
- reports that you looked at last time. I'm just
- trying to get a better understanding for how a
- report might come in to your possession or in to
- the possession of somebody in your unit.
- So first I'm going to take -- this was
- previously marked as Exhibit 18 during the last

Gorospe, Pharm. D., J. Kevin - Vol. II
Sacramento, CA

September 22, 2008

Page 698

- Q. Do you have an understanding of the
- magnitude -- difference between actual acquisition
- 3 costs and AWPs for the drugs that are in the
- 4 California Complaint?
- MR. BENNETT: Objection to form.
- THE WITNESS: No, I don't.
- ⁷ BY MR. PAUL:
- 8 Q. At any time in your career at DHCS have
- ⁹ you ever received any communication of any sort
- from Mylan explaining the differences between the
- AWPs it reports and providers' actual acquisition
- costs?
- A. Not that I can recall.
- Q. At any time in your career at DHCS have
- you ever received any communication of any sort
- from Sandoz explaining the differences between the
- actual acquisition costs for its drugs and Sandoz's
- reported AWPs?
- A. Not that I can recall.
- Q. I won't restate the question each time,
- but the same question regarding Dey
- 22 Pharmaceuticals?

Gorospe, Pharm. D., J. Kevin - Vol. II

Sacramento, CA

September 22, 2008

```
Page 699
 1
          Α.
               Not that I recall -- not that I can
 2
     recall, no.
 3
          Q.
               And Warrick?
          Α.
               Again, the answer is no.
 5
          Ο.
               Schering?
          Α.
               No.
 7
               Counsel for Sandoz showed you a letter
          0.
     addressed to Gail Margolis dated December 13th,
            I think for the record that's Exhibit 58.
     2004.
10
               MS. BERWANGER: Objection to form.
11
     don't believe I showed him that.
12
               MR. PAUL: I'm sorry?
13
               MS. BERWANGER: I don't believe I showed
14
     him that exhibit.
15
               MR. PAUL: Do I have the wrong number?
16
                I'm sorry, counsel. I'm sorry.
17
     BY MR. PAUL:
18
                Counsel for Warrick showed you a letter
19
     addressed to Gail Margolis, and it's marked as
20
     Exhibit 58, and I think Mr. Bennett also showed you
21
     Exhibit 60.
22
               No?
```